App. F. IX-4

1936 (Leases)

*Previous References*
Lease 14-3 (1-5)

**File No.** 102-36

**Serial Nos.** 1-3

*Letter References.*
Lease Dlp 62-36
" 102-36 (5-6)
" 13-36 (53, 84-85)
" 18-37-37-38
" 18-37-44 & 46

Presence (11)

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 2

**Part B for** January 37

**SUBJECT.**

Endorsing certain cases before the High Court to Mr C. Narasimmaya Gowda as their Advocate has taken valuable time as other parties before the cases appointed Govt Advocate

Govt Advocate
Govt 4709-128/28-1-37

Govt Advo
" Complete
" Regd H-C
" Mr C. Upon

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

Sanctioned.

M Sprague
2/2/37

Serial No.

From

Mr C. R. Gonda

Advocate.

Bangalore.

Letter dated 2-11-36

Requests that briefs in all Cases filed by Mr Mirle Lakshminarayappa in the High Court in which Govt is Respondent or Opponent may be granted to him.

1) Consequent to the appointment of Mr Mirle Lakshminarayappa as Govt Advocate, briefs with have to be entrusted to another advocate. Mr C. R. Gonda Volunteers his services in this Connection.

Subd for orders.

4/11

Subd for personal-Reference from Mr Mirle Lakshminarayappa after he takes charge of the office of the G.A ~~has to~~ will be awaited before the point raised here ~~can be~~ considered

Genl Secy): Inv 9/11
11/11

BKR 12.11.36

GENERAL SECRETARY. 9 NOV 1936 177

OFFICE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL & JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT No. 2902

NOTE—Contn. Shee

WD 0417—GPB—80,000—8-9-36

Sec 16

14/11

Inv 14/11

File.No. Law 152 of 1936. General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

5) No Reference is received from Mr. M. Lakshminarayappa till now it is for orders if this may be filed.

6) This may be come up for orders in 1st week of January, if no Reference is received from the G. A. by that time

BKR 15.12

File put up for orders as directed above.

Sd B. (7-1-37) 15-12-36

From

The Govt. Advocate

Letter no. 485, dated 15-1-37 States that in certain cases (Vide P.V.) he is precluded from representing Govt. as he has accepted engagements on behalf of the opposite parties before his appointment as Govt. Advocate.

The G. A. Requests that he may be permitted to continue to appear for his clients in those certain cases in which he accepted engagements on behalf of the opposite parties before

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. Law 102 of 1936. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

his appointment as Govt Advocate.

Subd for orders with reference to paras 1 and 2 supra.

18/1

9 Please put up on file leading to G.O. No. P 578-81 Law, 19-31-3 dated 27-7-31

19-1-37

Law file 19 of 31 Sls 1-5 is put up.

20/1

10 Kind reference is invited to paragraph 2.

11 Mr. Mule N. Lakshminarayanappa has made a reference (P.W.D II) that in the four cases mentioned therein he cannot appear for Government as he had accepted engagements and filed Vakalats on behalf of opposite parties prior to his appointment as Government Advocate.

12 Mr. C. Lakshmanagouda offers his services to conduct the cases on behalf of Government (P.W.D. I)

13 On similar circumstances in 1929 and 1931, the cases in which the Government Advocate could not appear on behalf of Government had been entrusted to Mr. C. L. Gouda.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—80,000—8-9-36

4058

Law File No. 102 of 1936. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

14 Let further Cancer of the cases mentioned in P.U.D II may be entrusted to Mr. C.L. Gouda

BKR 20.1.37

Gen. Secy). What about the request in Mr. Lest's sentence in G.A.'s letter?

Jw 21/1/37

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 20 JAN. 1937 604

On previous occasions, such a permission to the G.A. was implied, when the Government engages a different Counsel to appear for them. But express permission seems to be necessary in view of Rule 26 of the Legal Affairs of Government, which provides that on the Civil Side, the Government Advocate is debarred from appearing against Government in any case to which Government is a party. I think it is therefore desirable that Mr. Lakshmi Narasappa should be expressly permitted to appear for his clients in the cases in question against the Government.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 22 JAN. 1937 681

BKR 22.1.37

Gen. Secy) Maybe appd Jw 23/1/37

4423 22.1.

First Member)

JPR 23/1 Jw 25/1

4058

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 102 of 1936. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

please put up a draft G.O.

BNR
25.1.37

Draft G.O. is put up for approval.
May be approved

BNR
26.1.37

(Gen. Secy).

26/1/37

BNR
26.1.37

GENERAL SECRETARY. 26 JAN. 1937

ab/1

G.O. No P. 4709, dt 22-1-37

B
30-1-37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000-8-9-36

C. L. GOUDA, B.A., B.L.,
ADVOCATE.

2747826

Bangalore City, 2-11-1936

Law 102-36-1

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

As, consequent on the appointment of Mr. M.N. Lakshminaranappa as Govt. Advocate, it will be necessary for the Govt. to entrust briefs in all cases which have been filed by him in the High Court in which Govt. is respt. or oppt., to another advocate, I beg respectfully to offer my services in this connection.

In doing so, I would mention the fact that, on the appointment of Mr. A.R. Nageswara Iyer as Govt. Advocate, the Govt. was pleased to entrust similar briefs to me under G.O. No. P 578-81, Law, 19.31.3, d. 27-7-1931, and I conducted them in the most pains-taking manner with the result that I achieved something like 90% success as reported by me to the Govt. in my letter d. 15-3-35.

I am, Sir,
Yours very truly,
C Lakshmana Gouda

4058

In reply please refer to
No. 485

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

*Bangalore,* 15th January *1937*

467

Law 102-36-2

From

Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa, Esq., B.A.,LL.B.,
Government Advocate in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

To

The General Secretary to the Govt.
of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
16 JAN. 1937

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in the marginally noted cases before the High Court of Mysore, in which the Government Advocate has to represent the Government, I am precluded from doing so, as I have accepted engagements and filed Vakalats on behalf of the opposite parties, before my appointment as Government Advocate. I therefore request that necessary arrangements may be kindly made by Government for the conduct of these cases on their behalf. I also request that I may be kindly permitted to continue to appear for my clients in the said cases.

(1) Second Appeal No.145/34-35 (Govt. of Mysore VS. C.R.Venkatasubba Setty and another).

(2) Regular Appeal No.90/35-36 (The Try. Asst. Commr. and Land Acquisition Officer, Chikmagalur VS. C. P. Basave Gowda).

(3) Regular Appeal No.65/35-36 (Seethamma VS. Govt. of Mysore and others).

(4) Regular Appeal No. 8/36-37 (Rangachar VS. The Dist. Muz. Officer, Mysore).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa

Government Advocate.

CSN.

abes 29/1

3

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary. No. of letter replied to*.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* . *193* .

Date of issue 28-1-193 .

Signed by Mr. A.S.R.

~~Sanction~~ ~~of G.A's~~ ~~Vidanapatra~~ letter dt 15-1-37

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

**Section** Law

**File No.** 102-36

**Serial No.** 3

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

*Read*— Letter no 485, dated 15-1-37 from Mr. Mirle N. Lakshminarayanappa, Govt Advocate, intimating that in the marginally noted Cases before the High Court in which Govt Advocate has ~~Order thereon—No.~~ to represent the Govt he is precluded from doing so, as he had accepted engagements and filed Vakalats on behalf of the opposite parties prior to his appointment as Govt Advocate, and requesting

that necessary arrangements be may be kindly made for the conduct of the said Cases on behalf of Govt, and that he may be kindly permitted to continue to appear for his clients in the said Cases.

Order P 6709-12

Govt are pleased to entrust the further conduct on their behalf, of the Cases mentioned in the letter read above, to Mr C. Lakshmana Gowda, Advocate, Bangalore, ~~to whom~~ the Govt Advocate is requested to hand over them the necessary Records Connected with the said Cases.

Govt are also pleased to permit the Govt Advocate to appear for his clients in

*List of Enclosure*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD (3602-GPB—22,500—12-7-35

the cases mentioned in his letter read above.

General Secy.

26.1.07

To

(1) The Govt Advocate.
(2) The Comptr
(3) The Registrar, High Court.
(4) Mr C. Lakshmana Gowda.
Advocate, Bellary

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

WD 0186—GPB—15,000—6-9-84

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Read -

Letter No.485, dated 15th January 1937 from Mr.Mirle N.Lakshmanarapappa, Government Advocate, intimating that in the marginally noted cases before the High Court in which Government Advocate has to represent the Government he is procluded from doing so, as he had accepted engagements and filed vakalats on behalf of the opposite parties prior to his appointment as Government Advocate, and requesting that necessary arrangements may kindly be made for the conduct of the said cases on behalf of Government and that he may be kindly permitted to continue to appear for his clients in the said cases.

| |
|---|
| (1) Second Appeal No.145/34-35 (Govt. of Mysore VS. C.R.Venkatasubba Setty and another). |
| (2) Regular Appeal No.90/35-36(The Try. Asst.Commr. and Land Acquisition Officer, Chikmagalur VS C.P. Basave Gowda). |
| (3) Regular Appeal No.65/35-36 (Seethamma VS Govt. of Mysore and other). |
| (4) Regular Appeal No.8/36-37 (Rangachar VS. The Dist. Muz. Officer, Mysore). |

---

Order No. Pl709-12 Law 102-36-3

Dated, Bangalore, 27th January 1937.

Government are pleased to entrust the further conduct on their behalf, of the cases mentioned in the letter read above, to Mr.C. Lakshmana Gowda, Advocate, Bangalore. The Government Advocate is requested to hand over to him the necessary records connected with the said cases.

Government are also pleased to permit the Government Advocate to appear for his clients in the cases mentionod in his letter read above.

Secretary to Government.

To

The Government Advocate,
The Comptroller to Government,
The Registrar, High Court of Mysore,

Mr.C.Lakshmana Gowda. Advocate Bangalore